

## Services and Activities Fee Committee

March 18, 2021 | 6:30 pm | ZOOM

### 1. Call to Order at 6:33pm.

#### Introductions:

- Jenni Martinez - Present
- Savannah (Nan) Peery - Present
- Nick Martin - Absent
- Ray White - Present
- Christina Chacon – Present at 7:05pm
- Kate McAteer - Present
- Robin Kovis - Present
- Stephanie Warner - Present
- Ian Jamieson - Present

### 2. New Business

#### a. Announcement of bias

- i. Robin, Stephanie, and Jenni all work for ASWSUTC
- ii. Nan works for both ASWSUTC and OSEL
- iii. Ian is the Director of OSEL and works closely with all groups requesting
- iv. Ray oversees IT
- v. Dr. McAteer oversees most of the groups

#### b. Deliberations

- i. The group looked at the total requests this year.
- ii. The group looked at the historical data to better understand what has been allocated, requested, and spent in the past.
- iii. The group discussed the way they wanted to move forward with deliberations.
  1. The group decided to first review all of the requests to decide if each request should be funded by the committee.
  2. Then the group will
- iv. The group also discussed how they needed to make a decision on the amount of the S&A Fee moving forward.
- v. Should a request be funded by S&A?
  1. All areas fit the S&A Funding
  2. A discussion ensued about the IT Design Lab and if it is academic in nature
    - a. The student workers are for hours that won't be used for classes
- vi. The board discussed each request and its merits
- vii. A discuss about the Salaries request and how they are difficult to reduce and all of the positions fit S&A and are ones that should be funded.
  1. Ray moved to fund \$306,715.86 from allocated section to the Salaries request, Christina seconded. Passed Unanimously.
- viii. A discussion about the OSEL budget request
  1. Robin mentioned that the \$25,000 for Presence Points

- program seems like an ambitious number
- 2. Ray agreed with Robin and indicated that there could be a need to reduce this number to be a better reality
- 3. Dr. McAteer mentioned how it is important to remember that we are coming back from remote and this could be a good way to get people involved
- ix. A discussion about the SEB request
  - 1. Robin brought up a question about the virtual events and if these events are going to be attended
  - 2. Ray wanted to group to discuss how they can make all of their on campus events virtually accessible
  - 3. Robin also is wondering if the Cougar Pack program and if students will still want to do this
  - 4. Stephanie indicated that she thinks Cougar Packs will be used and that Local Events might be the more challenging programs in their budgets
  - 5. Dr. McAteer gave a plug for non-traditional students and the Cougar Pack Program
  - 6. The group talked about all the various program categories and the safety contingency
- x. A discussion about the IT Digital Design Studio
  - 1. Ray brought up the idea of funding half this request and allowing students to use it for non-academic activities but not the full amount with no data about usage needs
  - 2. Ray also mentioned it is always hard to move "backward"
- xi. A discussion about the IT Computer Center
  - 1. Robin mentioned that we might be able to reduce due to work study
  - 2. Ian mentioned that if work study is used then money will come back at the end of the year
- xii. A discussion about the ASWSUTC Request
  - 1. Stephanie brought up the travel expenses and if that is needed for next year
- xiii. A discussion about the Club Funding
  - 1. Ian brought up how it is a hard fund to determine because it goes underspend each year but also is a direct support to students.
- xiv. A discussion about Counseling and Wellness
  - 1. Robin mentioned that the peer support students are new and maybe a similar option to reduce down to see how well it works
  - 2. Christina talked about the fact that there is a huge need for this and this budget might not be big enough and there is a concern that this will be less helpful. This can be a good start but it also might not be enough.
  - 3. There was a long conversation about the Per Diem Therapist and if this is the best route to go or if it isn't the best funding because of the liability that the university might be taking on. There was confusion about what the request fully was for and what the outcome would look like.
  - 4. Ian asked the question if this should be funded from S&A or another source.

3. Adjournment at 8:30pm